

## **REMARKS**

By the present amendment, claims 14 to 35 are pending in the application.

Claims 14 and 25 are independent claims.

### **Support For New Claims**

#### **Claim 14**

New independent claim 14 is based upon original claim 1 with the addition of the holding member. The holding member is disclosed in the specification, e.g., at page 10, lines 11-14 as elastic member 3 which may be a coil spring, rubber or similar device. Elastic member 3 is illustrated, e.g., in Figs. 1, 4, 5A and 5B. The elastic member 3 resulting in the avoidance of deflection of the magnetic shield member 2 is disclosed in the specification, e.g., at page 7, lines 32-34.

#### **Claim 15**

Support for new dependent claim 15 may be found in the specification, e.g., at page 12, lines 30-36.

#### **Claim 16**

Support for new dependent claim 16 may be found in original dependent claim 2. Support for the translucent heat insulating member being positioned close to the magnetic shield member may be found in the specification, e.g., at page 26, lines 16-18 and Fig. 18.

#### **Claim 17**

Support for new dependent claim 17 may be found in the specification, e.g., at page 29, lines 25-34 and Fig. 30. The translucent heat insulating members being rectangular parallelepipeds may be found in the specification, e.g., at page 26, lines 18-20.

**Claim 18**

Support for new dependent claim 18 may be found in the specification, e.g., at page 15, line 20 to page 16, line 15 and Figs. 9A and 9B.

**Claim 19**

New dependent claim 19 corresponds to original dependent claim 6.

**Claim 20**

The radio wave shield member 4 being metallic mesh is disclosed in the specification at page 10, lines 1-10. See Fig. 1.

**Claim 21**

New dependent claim 21 corresponds to original dependent claim 4.

**Claim 22**

New dependent claim 22 corresponds to original dependent claim 5.

**Claim 23**

New dependent claim 23 corresponds to original dependent claim 6 limited to the Z-shape.

**Claim 24**

New dependent claim 24 corresponds to original dependent claim 6 limited to the I-shape.

**Claim 25**

New independent claim 25 is based upon original independent claim 12 with the addition of the holding member. Support for the holding member in the specification is the same as set forth for new independent claim 14.

**Claim 26**

Support for new dependent claim 26 is the same as support for new dependent claim 15.

**Claim 27**

Support for new dependent claim 27 may be found in original dependent claim 8. Support for the heat insulating member being positioned close to the magnetic shield member may be found in the specification, e.g., at page 26, lines 16-18 and Fig. 18.

**Claim 28**

Support for new dependent claim 28 is the same as support for new dependent claim 17.

**Claim 29**

Support for new dependent claim 29 is the same as support for new dependent claim 18.

**Claim 30**

New dependent claim 30 corresponds to original dependent claim 9.

**Claim 31**

New dependent claim 31 corresponds to original dependent claim 10.

**Claim 32**

New dependent claim 32 corresponds to original dependent claim 11.

**Claim 33**

New dependent claim 33 corresponds to original dependent claim 12 limited to the Z shape.

**Claim 34**

New dependent claim 34 corresponds to original dependent claim 12 limited to the I-shape.

**Claim 35**

New dependent claim 35 is based upon original dependent claim 13. Support for the added steel, stainless steel, galvanized steel, and aluminum-galvanized steel plates may be found in the specification, e.g., at page 28, lines 12-15.

**§102/§103**

Claims 1 to 4, 7 to 10 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. 2005/0233133 to Tamai et al.

Claims 5, 6, 11 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 2005/0233133 to Tamai et al. in view of Japan No. 2002-164686 to Takeshi.

These rejections, as applied to new claims 14 to 35 of the present amendment, are respectfully traversed.

**Patentability**

New independent claim 14 and new independent claim 25 require --a holding member for holding the magnetic shield member so as to avoid deflection of the magnetic shield member--.

It is submitted that neither Tamai nor Takeshi disclose or suggest a holding member having a structure which prevents the magnetic shield from bending.

It is therefore submitted that new independent claims 14 and 25, and all claims dependent thereon, are patentable over Tamai and/or Takeshi, taken alone or in combination..

**CONCLUSION**

It is submitted that in view of the present amendment and foregoing remarks, the application is now in condition for allowance. It is therefore respectfully requested that the application, as amended, be allowed and passed for issue.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON LLP

By: John J. Kelly, Jr.  
John J. Kelly, Jr.  
Reg. No. 29,182

KENYON & KENYON LLP  
One Broadway  
New York, New York 10004  
(212) 425-7200